

# Contents

---

<b>Authors' Preface to New Edition</b> . . . . .	7
<b>Introduction by Peter Stone</b> . . . . .	9
<b>1. The Founders' Ideal</b>	
The legislature as a transcript of the people. . . . .	17
The present electoral system is unrepresentative. . . . .	20
<b>2. The Democracy of Athens Offers a Better Model</b>	
Selection by lottery . . . . .	25
Direct representation is scientifically reliable. . . . .	28
A cross-section of the people would be selected . . . . .	29
<b>3. The Consequences of a House Appointed by Sortition</b>	
Framing political issues in new ways . . . . .	33
Restoring the difference between the House and Senate . . . . .	35
Developing its own organization. . . . .	36
Better serving the general welfare . . . . .	39
Equivalent to the nation as a whole . . . . .	40
At least as competent as the present House. . . . .	41
No more easily manipulated than the present House . . . . .	44
Less corruptible than the present House . . . . .	45
The members would be representative even when absent . . . . .	47
<b>4. Alternative Solutions to the Democratic Deficit</b>	
Campaign funding reforms . . . . .	49
The Initiative process. . . . .	51
Electronic technology . . . . .	52
<b>5. Additional Benefits of the New Representative House</b>	
Saving the taxpayers money . . . . .	55
Offering exciting benefits to chosen representatives. . . . .	56
Encouraging cooperation among members . . . . .	58
Strengthening the republic . . . . .	59
Not disturbing the balance of power. . . . .	60
The Senate would remain . . . . .	62
Responding more rapidly to new problems. . . . .	63
Restoring a sense of citizenship. . . . .	64
<b>6. Putting it Into Practice</b>	
Practical implementation is possible . . . . .	67
<b>Appendix: Responses</b> . . . . .	73